

## Standards of Care for Anti-Human Trafficking Service Providers: Checklist

Prepared for

Freedom Network USA 712 H Street NE Suite 1667 Washington, DC, 20002

Prepared by

Stacey Cutbush, PhD
Leanne McCallum Desselle, MA
Melissia Larson, MS
Brianna D'Arcangelo, BA
RTI International
3040 E. Cornwallis Road, PO Box 12194
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
www.rti.org

RTI Project Number 0219072

October 5, 2023

## **Disclaimer**

This document was produced by Freedom Network USA under grant 15POVC-22-GK-03286-HT, awarded by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this document are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

## **Standards of Care for Anti-Human Trafficking Service Providers: Checklist**

Name:	Date:
Standard:	Committee name:

How to use this checklist: This form was designed for the Standards of Care Technical Working Group (SOC TWG) for developing human trafficking Standards of Care (SOCs). This document establishes four (4) main criteria, each containing several parameters. All SOCs developed by the SOC TWG and created through this project should adhere to these established criteria. For each row, review the criteria for developing a standard of care, located in column 1. Then, reflect on the criteria sub-categories in column 2. Check the boxes for all the sub-categories that apply. Based on that review, check the box in column 3 if you believe that the criteria and criteria sub-categories for the standard have been met.

Criteria	Criteria Sub-categories	Meets Criteria
☐ The standard of care was developed in response to an existing theme or category identified through the Standards of Care for Anti-Human Trafficking Service Providers:  Literature Review or in response to an emergent best practice identified through TWG consensus.	<ul> <li>□ It is relevant to service providers who serve survivors of human trafficking.</li> <li>□ It aligns with relevant evidence-based or evidence-informed practices, best practices, rules and regulations, licensing requirements, policies, and/or expectations in the field.</li> <li>□ It matches or complements all other standards of care TWG has developed.</li> </ul>	
☐ The standard of care was developed and refined by applying the evidence-based Delphi Model for consensus.	<ul> <li>□ It was developed and refined by applying both the SOC TWG ratings and the SOC TWG open-ended feedback from each Delphi Method round.</li> <li>□ It has achieved consensus agreement among SOC TWG members or has been approved by the TWG SOC Steering Committee following the "Achieving Consensus" guidance outlined in the Anti-Human Trafficking Service Providers: Criteria for Development.</li> </ul>	
☐ The standard of care addresses one or more of the following: what service(s) to provide; how to provide the service(s); the ethics involved in providing the service.	<ul> <li>It is complete, meaning it provides all the information necessary for the service providers to understand and meet the standard.</li> <li>It is measurable (e.g., in terms of quality, quantity, timeliness) and observable.</li> <li>It has a specific benchmark(s), at or above which is successful, and below which is unacceptable.</li> <li>It is reasonable, meaning that service providers can realistically achieve it and will not be overburdened by it.</li> </ul>	
☐ The standard of care uses easy-to-read language to help the end user understand what the standard is telling them to provide—and how to provide it.	<ul> <li>□ It is objective; it is free from bias, personal feelings, or opinions.</li> <li>□ It does not use the first person (I, we), second person (you), or the third person personal (he, she, they).</li> <li>□ It is brief, clearly written, and uses plain language. It avoids using jargon, generalized terms, and language that does not have clear meaning to the reader. It is written in a way that does not allow for mixed interpretation.</li> <li>□ It is actionable, provides clear guidance, and (in most instances) contains an action verb.</li> <li>□ Collectively, the standards of care are written in a similar style and are clear and easy to read, following a step-by-step format, where possible.</li> </ul>	
Overall	Does this standard, sub-standard, or service-specific standard meet all criteria and sub-criteria? (Circle yes or no)	Yes   no